

<sup>1</sup> Also pending is Plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order (Document No. 2), which will not be addressed in this Order.

default” by the clerk of court pursuant to Rule 55(a), prior to the request for “default judgment.” Document No. 7 cites to Rule 55(b)(2) rather than Rule 55(a) and the caption of Document No. 8 explicitly requests “default judgment.” Moreover, Plaintiff improperly asks “the Clerk” to award permanent injunctive relief. The clerk is not authorized to grant such relief. Plaintiff’s counsel has also failed to attach proposed orders of court, as required by the Local Rules.

In accordance with the foregoing, the MOTION FOR DEFAULT (Document No. 7) and the REQUEST FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT (Document No. 8) filed by Plaintiff Isovolta AG are **DENIED**, without prejudice.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of August, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/Terrence F. McVerry  
United States District Judge

Cc: Stanley Yorsz, Esquire  
(via CM/ECF)

Todd Kadar  
President, Dielectric Solutions  
1655 Orr Avenue  
Kittanning, PA 16201  
(via Certified US Mail, with enclosure)